

Structural Econometrics in Industrial Organization

Dynamics

Marc Rysman

Boston University

February 16, 2009

Many important economic decisions are dynamic

- ▶ Consumers:
 - ▶ Buy today or wait (learning)
 - ▶ Experience goods (experimentation)
 - ▶ stockpiling from sales
- ▶ Firms:
 - ▶ Entry and exit
 - ▶ Investment
 - ▶ Product introductions
- ▶ Note that many of these involve discrete decisions.

Traditional continuous choice with a single agent

- ▶ Consumers chooses consumption and savings:

$$\max_{c_t} E \left[\sum_{\tau=t}^{\infty} \beta^{\tau-t} U(c_{\tau}) | \Omega_t \right] \text{ s.t. } c_t \leq r_t q_t + y_t; \quad q_{t+1} = r_t q_t + y_t - c_t$$

- ▶ Where q_t is savings, r_t is savings payoff, y_t is income, Ω_t is information.
- ▶ The Bellman equation is:

$$V(\Omega_t) = \max_{c_t} U(c_t) + \beta E [V(\Omega_{t+1}) | \Omega_t]$$

- ▶ Use derivative based arguments to get rid of V and derive the Euler equation:

$$U'(c_t) = \beta E[U'(c_{t+1}) | \Omega_t]$$

Dynamic Discrete Choice

Rust (1987, Econometrica)

- ▶ Consider Harold Zurcher, chief mechanic for the public bus system in Madison, WI
- ▶ Zurcher decides when to overhaul engines.
- ▶ After overhaul, engines are like new.
- ▶ This is an “optimal stopping problem”.

Zurcher's problem

- ▶ State variable: Number of miles on the bus, s (follows Markov process)
- ▶ Infinite, discrete time. Discount rate: β .
- ▶ Choice variable: Whether or not to overhaul, $i \in \{0, 1\}$.
- ▶ Cost function $C(s, i, \theta) + \epsilon_i$:
 - ▶ Overhaul: $C(s, 1, \theta) = \theta_0$
 - ▶ No overhaul: $C(s, 0, \theta) = \theta_1 + \theta_2 s$
- ▶ Stationary problem. The Bellman equation is:

$$V(s, \epsilon) = \max_{i \in \{0, 1\}} C(s, i, \theta) + \epsilon_i + \beta E [V(s', \epsilon') | s, i, \epsilon]$$

Simplification

- ▶ We want to solve for the value function but ϵ makes the problem very hard.

Assumption of Conditional Independence

$$p(s', \epsilon' | s, \epsilon, i) = p^1(\epsilon' | s') p^2(s' | s, i)$$

- ▶ ϵ has no dynamic content. s and i are sufficient to predict future states.
- ▶ In practice, we assume ϵ is *iid*. $\epsilon \sim EV$.
- ▶ Study:

$$EV(s) = \int_{\epsilon} EV(s, \epsilon)$$

Empirical approach

- ▶ Bellman equation:

$$EV(s) = \ln \left(\sum_i \exp (C(s, i, \gamma) + \beta E [EV(s')|s, i]) \right)$$

- ▶ Probabilities of i follows logit form:

$$P(i|s) = \frac{\exp (C(s, i, \theta) + \beta E [EV(s')|s, i])}{\sum_{k \in \{0,1\}} \exp (C(s, k, \theta) + \beta E [EV(s')|s, k])}$$

- ▶ If we knew EV , we could estimate θ . If we knew θ , we could solve for EV .

Algorithm

The Nested Fixed Point Algorithm

1. Discretize EV . Estimate discrete transition matrix $p(s'|s, i)$.
2. Pick γ .
3. Pick values for EV .
4. Solve

$$EV' = \ln \left(\sum_i \exp (C(s, i, \gamma) + \beta E [EV(s')|s, i]) \right)$$

5. If $d(EV', EV) > \text{cutoff}$, go to 3.
6. Construct likelihood:

$$L(\gamma) = \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\exp (C(s_j, i_j, \gamma) + \beta E [EV(s')|s_j, i_j])}{\sum_{k \in \{0,1\}} \exp (C(s_j, k, \gamma) + \beta E [EV(s')|s_j, k])}$$

7. Pick γ to raise $L(\gamma)$. Go to 2.

Issues

- ▶ Exogenous persistent heterogeneity.
 - ▶ Use EM algorithm,
 - ▶ Heckman and Singer (Econometrica, 1984), Cameron and Trivedi (Textbook, Sec. 10.3.7)
- ▶ Endogenous heterogeneity (learning)
 - ▶ Can be solved with simulation
 - ▶ Akerberg (IER, 2003), Crawford and Shum (Econometrica, 2005)
- ▶ Large state spaces
 - ▶ Randomization
 - ▶ Rust (Econometrica, 1997), Hotz and Miller (ReStud 1993), Imai, Jain and Ching (2008 SSRN).
 - ▶ Conditional choice probabilities
 - ▶ Hotz and Miller (ReStud 1993), Aguirregabiria and Mira (Econometrica, 2002)
- ▶ Applications to games
 - ▶ Bajari, Benkard and Levin (2007, Econometrica), Aguirregabiria and Mira (2007, Econometrica), Pakes, Ostrovsky and Berry (2007, RAND)

Bajari, Benkard and Levin, 2007

Estimating dynamic games introduces two important problems:

1. Enormous state spaces
 - ▶ Benkard (ReStud, 2004) takes a month to solve **once!**
2. Multiple equilibria
 - ▶ Multiple solutions to fixed point algorithms means we cannot construct a likelihood function.

BBL addresses both of these problems.

Basic idea

- ▶ Estimate in reduced form choices and state transitions as a function of state variables.
- ▶ Use simulation to calculate value function at any given state resulting from possible choices.
- ▶ Estimate structural parameters in payoff function taking value function as given.

Model

- ▶ J firms, discrete, infinite time
- ▶ state space $\mathbf{s}_t \in \mathbb{R}^L$
- ▶ actions $i \in \mathbb{I}$ (ctns or discrete)
- ▶ private shock $nu_{jt} \sim G(\cdot | \mathbf{s}_t)$
- ▶ flow profit $\pi_j(i_{jt}, \mathbf{s}_t, \nu_{jt})$.
- ▶ Before realizing ν_{jt} :

$$V(\mathbf{s}_t) = E \left[\sum_{\tau=t}^{\infty} \beta^{\tau-t} \pi_j(i_{\tau}, \mathbf{s}_{\tau}, \nu_{j\tau} | \mathbf{s}_t) \right]$$

where $\mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim P(\mathbf{s}_{t+1} | i_t, \mathbf{s}_t)$

Model II

- ▶ Markov Perfect Equilibrium
- ▶ Denote strategies as $\sigma(\mathbf{s}, \nu_j)$
- ▶ Bellman equation conditioning on strategies:

$$V_j(\mathbf{s}, \sigma) = E \left[\pi_j(\sigma(\mathbf{s}, \nu_j), \mathbf{s}, \nu_j) + \int V_j(\mathbf{s}', \sigma) dP(\mathbf{s}' | \sigma(\mathbf{s}, \nu_j), \mathbf{s}) | \mathbf{s} \right]$$

First stage of estimation

- ▶ Assume data is generated by a single MPE so we can estimate $\sigma(s, \nu_j)$ from observed data.
- ▶ Estimate $\sigma(s, \nu_j)$, $P(s'|I_t, s_t)$.
- ▶ Now we can calculate the value of being at any given state s by simulation up to a set of parameters.
- ▶ Rather than solve for exact value function from equilibrium conditions, we are using observed choices and state transitions to approximately obtain value function.

Calculate value function

1. Draw ν_{jt} for all firms for T periods into the future
2. Calculate choices in period t from $\sigma(\mathbf{s}, \nu_{jt})$.
3. Calculate \mathbf{s}_{t+1} from $P(\mathbf{s}' | i_t, \mathbf{s}_t)$.
4. Go to 2.
5. Repeat ns times (go to 1).
6. Calculate:

$$\widehat{V}_j(\mathbf{s}_t, \sigma) = \frac{1}{ns} \sum_{k=1}^{ns} \sum_{\tau=t}^T \beta^{\tau-t} \pi_j(i_t^k, \mathbf{s}_t^k, \nu_{jt}^k, \theta)$$

It will be handy if $\pi_j(i_t, \mathbf{s}_t, \nu_{jt}, \theta) = \pi_j(i_t, \mathbf{s}_t, \nu_{jt})\theta$

Second stage

Method 1

- ▶ For each firm in each period, calculate optimal choice from model.

- ▶ For continuous choices, find:

$$i^* \text{ s.t. } \frac{d\widehat{V}_j(\mathbf{s}_t, \sigma(i^*))}{di_{jt}} = 0.$$

- ▶ For discrete choices, find:

$$i^* \text{ s.t. } \widehat{V}_j(\mathbf{s}_t, \sigma(i^*)) \geq \widehat{V}_j(\mathbf{s}_t, \sigma(i)) \forall i$$

- ▶ Let $\xi = i^*(\theta) - i^{\text{data}}$.
 - ▶ Form moments $m = z'\xi$, objective function $\text{obj} = m'wm$.
- ▶ May be very computationally costly in practice

Second stage

Method 2

- ▶ Determine an alternative strategy $\sigma'(s, \nu_{jt})$.
 - ▶ For instance, add random normal terms to parameters in σ .
- ▶ Use revealed preference to derive **moment inequalities**.

$$g_j(s, \sigma, \sigma', \theta) = V_j(s, \sigma_j, \sigma_{-j}, \theta) - V_j(s, \sigma'_j, \sigma_{-j}, \theta)$$

- ▶ Form objective function:

$$\text{obj} = \sum_{s \in \text{data}} (\min \{g(s, \sigma, \sigma', \theta), 0\})^2$$

- ▶ Pick θ to minimize this function.

Example: Rust 1987

- ▶ Estimate choice probabilities using logit model:

$$\sigma(\mathbf{s}_{jt}, \nu_{jt}) = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{s}_{jt} + \nu_{jt} > 1$$

- ▶ $\nu_j \sim EV$

$$\Rightarrow P(i_{jt} | \mathbf{s}_{jt}) = \frac{\exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{s}_{jt})}{1 + \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{s}_{jt})}$$

- ▶ Estimate state transitions:

$$\mathbf{s}_{jt+1} = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \mathbf{s}_{jt} + \mathbf{u}_{jt}$$

- ▶ Now compute value of state \mathbf{s}_{jt+1} up to parameters θ .

Rust Example: Computing V

- ▶ Draw u_{jt}, ϵ_{jt} for T periods into the future, for each state observed in the data ns times.
- ▶ Simulate future realizations of s_{jt}, i_{jt} . Compute:

$$x_{jt}^1 = \frac{1}{ns} \sum_{k=1}^{ns} \sum_{\tau=t}^T \beta^{\tau-t} \mathbf{1}\{i_{jt}^k = 1\}$$

$$x_{jt}^2 = \frac{1}{ns} \sum_{k=1}^{ns} \sum_{\tau=t}^T \beta^{\tau-t} \mathbf{1}\{i_{jt}^k = 0\}$$

$$x_{jt}^3 = \frac{1}{ns} \sum_{k=1}^{ns} \sum_{\tau=t}^T \beta^{\tau-t} s_{jt}^k$$

- ▶ Value function is:

$$V(s_{jt}, \sigma, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{jt}^1 & x_{jt}^2 & x_{jt}^3 \end{bmatrix} \theta$$

Rust Example: Estimate θ

- ▶ Add random numbers to α_0, α_1 to create $\sigma'(s_{jt}, \nu_{jt})$.
- ▶ Compute $V(s_{jt}, \sigma', \theta)$
- ▶ Value of observed strategy minus value of alternative strategy:

$$g(s_{jt}, \sigma, \sigma', \theta) = \left[x_{jt}^1 - x_{jt}^{1'} \quad x_{jt}^2 - x_{jt}^{2'} \quad x_{jt}^3 - x_{jt}^{3'} \right] \theta$$

- ▶ Objective function:

$$\text{obj} = \sum_{s_{jt} \in \text{data}} (\min \{g(s_{jt}, \sigma, \sigma', \theta), 0\})^2$$

- ▶ In practice, this also includes a summation over many alternative strategies.

Applications

- ▶ Typically, these models are followed by simulations of market equilibrium under alternative policy regimes in the spirit of Ericson and Pakes (ReStud 1995) and Pakes and McGuire (RAND, 1994).
- ▶ Ryan (2008): Entry and investment by US cement producing plants
- ▶ Stahl (2009): Consolidation of US local television industry after deregulation.
- ▶ Snider (2009): Predatory pricing by US airlines.

Outstanding issues

- ▶ If there is any persistent unobserved heterogeneity, the first stage results is not right for any individual agent.
 - ▶ Analog to conditional independence assumption
- ▶ Many papers do not sufficiently concern themselves with obtaining causal parameters in the first stage.
 - ▶ Just regressing choice variables on state variables does not mean we have estimated a causal effect.